

***Rostania* revised: testing generic delimitations in Collemataceae (Peltigerales, Lecanoromycetes)**

Alica Košuthová¹, Martin Westberg², Mónica A.G. Otálora³, Mats Wedin¹

1 Department of Botany, Swedish Museum of Natural History, P.O. Box 50007, SE-104 05 Stockholm, Sweden **2** Museum of Evolution, Uppsala University, Norbyvägen 16, SE-752 36, Uppsala, Sweden **3** Plant Ecological Genetics, Institute of Integrative Biology, ETH Zurich, Universitätsstrasse 16, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

Corresponding author: Alica Košuthová (alica.kosuthova@nrm.se)

Academic editor: T. Lumbsch | Received 6 December 2018 | Accepted 18 January 2019 | Published 20 February 2019

Citation: Košuthová A, Westberg M, Otálora MAG, Wedin M (2019) *Rostania* revised: testing generic delimitations in Collemataceae (Peltigerales, Lecanoromycetes). MycoKeys 47: 17–33. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.47.32227>

Abstract

Here, we test the current generic delimitation of *Rostania* (Collemataceae, Peltigerales, Ascomycota) utilizing molecular phylogeny and morphological investigations. Using DNA sequence data from the mitochondrial SSU rDNA and two nuclear protein-coding genes (MCM7 and β -tubulin) and utilizing parsimony, maximum likelihood and Bayesian phylogenetic methods, *Rostania* is shown to be non-monophyletic in the current sense. A new generic delimitation of *Rostania* is thus proposed, in which the genus is monophyletic, and three species (*Rostania coccophylla*, *R. paramensis*, *R. quadrifida*) are excluded and transferred to other genera. *Rostania occultata* is further non-monophyletic, and a more detailed investigation of species delimitations in *Rostania* s. str. is needed. The new combinations *Leptogium paramense* and *Scytinium quadrifidum* are proposed.

Keywords

Classification, cyanolichens, nomenclature, systematics, taxonomy, thallus anatomy

Introduction

Collemataceae is a large group of predominantly foliose lichenized fungi commonly known as the “jelly lichens” due to their gelatinous habit. This is caused by a polysaccharide matrix around the *Nostoc* cyanobacterial photobionts that swells and becomes extremely gelatinous when wet. Until very recently, the generic classification of the

Collemataceae s. str. was very unnatural and based solely on one character, presence (*Leptogium*) or absence (*Collema*) of a cellular cortex (Degelius 1954, 1974; Jørgensen 2007). Already Degelius (1954) questioned the monophyly of *Collema* and *Leptogium*. This was also supported by molecular phylogenies (Wiklund and Wedin 2003; Miadlikowska and Lutzoni 2004; Miadlikowska et al. 2014), and somewhat surprisingly, gelatinous genera with one-septate spores that earlier were classified in Collemataceae, were shown to belong to the Pannariaceae (Wedin et al. 2009; Otálora et al. 2010; Ekman et al. 2014; Weerakoon et al. 2018) or Arctomiaceae (Otálora and Wedin 2013). Not until Otálora et al. (2013a, 2013b) investigated the family in detail was a modern classification of Collemataceae s. str. proposed. *Collema* and *Leptogium* were confirmed as highly non-monophyletic, and Otálora et al. (2013b) instead suggested accepting 10 more or less morphologically distinct monophyletic groups from their tree, as genera. In addition to *Collema* and *Leptogium* in restricted senses, six old generic names were resurrected (*Blennothallia* Trevis., *Enchylium* (Ach.) Gray, *Lathagrium* (Ach.) Gray, *Pseudoleptogium* Müll. Arg., *Rostania* Trevis., and *Scytinium* (Ach.) Gray), and two new genera were described (*Callome* Otálora & Wedin and *Paracollemma* Otálora & Wedin).

Rostania, the focus of the present study, corresponds to the *Occultatum*-group of *Collema* (Degelius 1954, 1974). It is a comparatively small genus with eight currently accepted, mainly epiphytic species, characterised by very small to medium sized (ca 0.3–5 cm in diam.) subcrustaceous to subfoliose thalli with very small apothecia (ca 0.2–0.8(–1) mm in diam.) and cuboid to oblong muriform spores. All five species included in the *Occultatum* group by Degelius were treated in *Rostania* by Otálora et al. (2013b); *Rostania callibotrys* (Tuck.) Otálora, P.M. Jørg. & Wedin, *Rostania ceranisca* (Nyl.) Otálora, P.M. Jørg. & Wedin, *Rostania coccophylla* (Nyl.) Otálora, P.M. Jørg. & Wedin, *Rostania occultata* (Bagl.) Otálora, P.M. Jørg. & Wedin and *Rostania multipunctata* (Degel.) Otálora, P.M. Jørg. & Wedin. In addition, *Rostania laevispora* (Swin-scow & Krog) Otálora, P.M. Jørg. & Wedin was included in the genus. Two further species were later added, *Rostania paramensis* (P.M. Jørg. & Palice) P.M. Jørg. & Palice (Jørgensen and Palice 2015) and *Rostania quadrifida* (D.F. Stone & McCune) McCune (McCune et al. 2014). Degelius (1954) divided *Collema occultatum* into two varieties: var. *populinum* which was characterised by a squamulose, somewhat lobate thallus, and which almost exclusively grew on the bark of *Populus*, and var. *occultatum* with a granulose thallus and which occurred on many deciduous trees, including *Populus*. Perlmutter and Rivas Plata (2018) combined var. *populinum* in *Rostania*, as *R. occultata* var. *populina* (Th. Fr.) Perlmutter & Rivas Plata.

Otálora et al. (2013a, 2013b) included only three species (*R. ceranisca*, *R. multipunctata* and *R. occultata*) in their phylogenies, and thus the taxonomical position of most species has not been tested by molecular methods. As there is a substantial variation in shape and size of the lobes, apothecia and ascospores, as well as the hyphal arrangement in the thallus among the *Rostania* species, and as several former Collemataceae taxa have been shown to belong outside the family, the delimitation of the whole genus needs investigation. Here, we will test the generic delimitation

of *Rostania* and investigate the relationships of any species falling outside *Rostania* s. str. Finally, we will note and comment on any indication of species non-monophyly, in this genus.

Material and methods

Taxon sampling and morphological studies

We sampled 52 specimens of Collemataceae for the molecular study, including six of the eight currently accepted *Rostania* species and representatives of all genera within the family Collemataceae, including type species. Sequences originating from the study of Otálora et al. (2013a) were downloaded from GenBank (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) and all sequences used in this work are summarized in Table 1. Our own collections were deposited in UPS and S, and additional herbarium material from the herbaria PRA, GZU, UPS and S was also included (Table 1). Additional herbarium type material from the herbaria H and O was studied morphologically only (listed on the end of the manuscript). Herbarium acronyms follow Thiers (2018). Three species of *Rostania* not included in earlier studies were successfully added (*R. callibotrys*, *R. quadrifida* and *R. paramensis*). The sampling of *Rostania occultata* included specimens of both varieties. To enable testing of generic monophyly and family placement of taxa potentially to be excluded from *Rostania*, we added secondary outgroups including newly produced sequences of two species from the sister family Placynthiaceae (*Placynthium nigrum* and *P. rosulans*) and sequences available in GenBank of two from the more distantly related Pannariaceae (*Pannaria rubiginosa* and *Staurolemma omphalarioides*). Finally, *Peltigera aphthosa* was used as outgroup to root the tree.

We studied morphological and anatomical characters under the light microscope and dissecting microscope. We used hand-cut longitudinal sections of apothecia to observe internal and microscopic characteristics, in water. Microscopic examinations of the thalli were conducted on transversal cross-sections of lobes in water, or lactic blue.

Data generation

Two apothecia or (in the case of sterile samples) a thallus fragment, were selected for extraction. We extracted total DNA using the Plant DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturers' instructions. We amplified ca 0.6 kb of the small subunit of the mitochondrial rDNA (mtSSU), ca 0.6 kb of the two protein-coding genes DNA replication licensing factor mini-chromosome maintenance complex component 7 (MCM7) and the β -tubulin gene (b-tub) using the same primer combinations and PCR settings as in previous studies (Otálora et al. 2013a; Košuthová et al. 2016). We assembled and edited DNA sequences using Geneious version R8 (<http://www.geneious.com>; Kearse et al. 2012).

Table 1. Sequences utilized in this study (newly produced sequences in bold, remaining sequences produced by Otálora et al. (2013a) and some of the outgroup sequences are taken from Wiklund and Wedin (2003), Buschbom and Mueller (2004), Otálora et al. (2010), Prieto et al. (2013)). In case of *Rostania* species, origin of both, state and provinces are given.

Taxon	Geographic origin, voucher	GenBank accession number		
		mtSSU	b-tub	MCM7
<i>Blenothallia crispa</i> 1	Hungary: Thor 7021a (UPS–L48439)	JX992918	KC119040	JX992976
<i>Blenothallia crispa</i> 3	Spain: Westberg (S–F315217)	MK445278	MK451934	MK451920
<i>Callome multipartita</i> 1	Norway: Haugan 7015 (O–L117369)	GQ259019	–	–
<i>Callome multipartita</i> 2	Austria: Hafellner 74818 (GZU18–2009)	MK445271	MK451935	–
<i>Collema leptaleum</i>	Argentina: Wedin 8822 (S–F335749)	JX992928	KC119038	JX992986
<i>Collema nigrescens</i>	Spain: Aragón 80/04 (MA–16262)	EU982563	KC119016	JX992989
<i>Collema subconveniens</i>	New Zealand: Wedin 9225 (S–F335747)	JX992937	KC119019	JX992996
<i>Enchylium bachmanianum</i>	Sweden: Nordin 1521 (UPS–L133627)	JX992914	MK451936	JX992974
<i>Enchylium polycarpon</i> 3	Sweden: Odelvik 04700 (S–L316455)	JX992934	MK451937	JX992993
<i>Enchylium tenax</i> 1	Spain: Etayo 20214 (MA–L13396)	EU982556	KC128823	JX992998
<i>Enchylium tenax</i> 2	Spain: Sarrión 1509 (MA–L14789)	EU982579	KC128824	–
<i>Lathagrium auriforme</i>	Spain: Otálora 20904 (MA–L16249)	JX992913	KC119008	JX992973
<i>Lathagrium</i> cf. <i>fuscovirens</i>	Sweden: Wedin 9701 (S–F332476)	MK445277	MK451938	MK451921
<i>Lathagrium fuscovirens</i>	Sweden: Tibell 23588 (UPSL–145162)	JX992923	KC119013	JX992983
<i>Leptogium azureum</i>	Chile: Cornejo 26507 (MA–16273)	JX992939	KC119021	JX993002
<i>Leptogium byssinum</i>	Norway: Westberg (S–F264803)	KT240180	–	KT240183
<i>Leptogium denticulatum</i>	Argentina: Wedin 8690 (S–F332474)	JX992947	KC119025	JX993012
<i>Leptogium terrenum</i>	Portugal: van den Boom 41781 (hb. van den Boom)	KT240181	–	KT240184
<i>Paracollemma italicum</i> 1	Croatia: Nordin 2708 (UPS–L076283)	JX992925	KC119015	JX992984
<i>Paracollemma italicum</i> 3	Croatia: Nordin 2763 (UPS–L076284)	JX992926	–	JX992985
<i>Pseudoleptogium diffractum</i> 1	Sweden: Nygren 007 (UPS–L129612)	GQ259029	–	–
<i>Pseudoleptogium diffractum</i> 3	Sweden: Nordin 2529 (UPS–L153952)	JX992949	–	JX993015
<i>Rostania callibotrys</i> 1	Kenya: Moberg 4431a (UPS–L22044)	MK445270	MK451939	–
<i>Rostania callibotrys</i> 2	Costa Rica: Sipman 20495 (GZU–113_8P)	MK445269	MK451940	–
<i>Rostania ceranisca</i> 1	Norway, Troms: Nordin 5721 (UPS–L130978)	MK445280	MK451941	–
<i>Rostania ceranisca</i> 2	Sweden, Pite Lappmark: Westberg PL433 (UPS–L931677)	MK445267	MK451942	MK451922
<i>Rostania ceranisca</i> 3	Austria, Salzburg: MW_HOCH020 (S–F262465)	MK445268	MK451943	MK451923
<i>Rostania multipunctata</i> 1	Greece, Crete: Nordin 3160 (UPS–L027750)	JX992930	MK451944	JX992988
<i>Rostania multipunctata</i> 2	Greece, Korfu: Poelt 8852 (GZU–2–93)	MK445273	MK451945	–
<i>Rostania occultata</i> v. <i>occultata</i> 1	Sweden, Pite Lappmark: Westberg PL467 (UPS–L931673)	MK445266	MK451946	MK451924
<i>Rostania occultata</i> v. <i>occultata</i> 2	Sweden, Dalarna: Westberg (S–F304739)	MK445259	–	MK451925
<i>Rostania occultata</i> v. <i>occultata</i> 3	Sweden, Uppland: Westberg (UPS–L834451)	MK445257	–	MK451926
<i>Rostania occultata</i> v. <i>populina</i> 1	Sweden, Södermanland: Nordin 5407 (UPS–L120396)	JX992931	–	JX992991
<i>Rostania occultata</i> v. <i>populina</i> 2	Greece, Crete: Llop 56060303 (S–F233720)	JX992932	MK451947	JX992990
<i>Rostania occultata</i> v. <i>populina</i> 3	Sweden, Gästrikland: Odelvik 01269 (S–L42490)	MK445260	MK451948	MK451927
<i>Rostania occultata</i> v. <i>populina</i> 4	Sweden, Jämtland: Kosuthova 174 (S–F332481)	MK445265	MK451949	MK451928
<i>Rostania paramensis</i>	Ecuador, Carchi: Palice 2796 (PRA–00013999) (HOLOTYPE)	MK445279	–	–
<i>Rostania quadrifida</i> 1	USA, Oregon: McCune 2744 (UPS–L513233)	MK445272	MK451950	–
<i>Rostania quadrifida</i> 2	USA, Oregon: McCune 28536 (UPS–L513222) (ISOTYPE)	MK445274	MK451951	–
<i>Scytinium biatorinum</i>	Sweden: Jonsson 5500 (UPS–L186460)	JX992940	KC119022	JX993003
<i>Scytinium imbricatum</i>	Sweden: Hermansson 18777 (UPS–L706500)	MK445264	MK451952	MK451929
<i>Scytinium intermedium</i>	Sweden: Nordin 7385 (UPS–L587203)	MK445263	MK451953	MK451930
<i>Scytinium magnussonii</i>	Spain: Otálora 20104 (MA)	EU982565	KC119004	JX993022
<i>Scytinium palmatum</i>	Sweden: Nordin 5369 (UPS–L113313)	JX992959	KC119027	JX993025
<i>Scytinium parvum</i>	Sweden: Thor 4300 (UPS–L174011)	JX992933	KC119018	JX992992

Taxon	Geographic origin, voucher	GenBank accession number		
		mtSSU	b-tub	MCM7
<i>Scytinium plicatile</i>	Sweden: Nordin 5566 (UPS–L124847)	GQ259033	KC119030	JX993030
<i>Scytinium pulvinatum</i>	Russia: Pystina 17352 (UPS–L738570)	MK445262	MK451954	MK451931
<i>Scytinium</i> sp_Palice2273	Ecuador: Palice 2273 (PRA–00013997)	MK445275	MK451955	–
<i>Scytinium</i> sp_Palice2274a	Ecuador: Palice 2274a (PRA–00013998)	MK445276	–	–
<i>Scytinium subtile</i>	Sweden: Ågren 686 (UPS–L163890)	JX992869	KC119034	–
<i>Scytinium tenuissimum</i>	Spain: Aragón 1682/97 (MA)	JX992971	KC119036	–
<i>Scytinium turgidum</i>	Spain: Aragón 1671/98 (MA–12868)	EU982592	KC119037	JX993040
Outgroups:				
<i>Placynthium rosulans</i>	Sweden: Westberg URL222 (UPS–L854413)	MK445258	MK451956	MK451932
<i>Placynthium nigrum</i>	Sweden: Kosuthova 35 (S–F332479)	MK445261	–	MK451933
<i>Pannaria rubiginosa</i>	Portugal: Purvis et Smith 27/4/95 (BM)	AY340513	–	JX993042
<i>Staurolemma omphalarioides</i>	Spain: Aragón 83/04 (MA), mtSSU only Spain: Hafellner & Hafellner 41399 (UPS), MCM7 only	EU982560	–	JX993043
<i>Peltigera apthosa</i>	Sweden: Wedin 6164 (UPS)	AY340515	AY536792	JX000176

Sequence alignment and analysis

To identify and avoid contaminants among the new sequences, we used Megablast high similarity matches in Geneious version R8 (<http://www.geneious.com>; Kearse et al. 2012). Alignments were constructed using AliView 1.09 (Larsson 2014) with the “ClustalW/Multiple alignment” option and subsequent manual adjustments. All ambiguously aligned regions (sensu Lutzoni et al. 2000) were excluded from analysis.

The mitochondrial and the two protein-coding datasets were analysed separately before concatenation using parsimony jackknifing (JK) in WinClada (Nixon 1999–2002) with 100–200 replicates and otherwise default settings. As no significant (JK support above 70%) incongruence was detected, the alignments were concatenated. Final alignments have been deposited in TREEBASE (<http://www.treebase.org>) with accession number (<http://purl.org/phylo/treebase/phyloids/study/TB2:S23889>). After concatenation, we inferred phylogenetic relationships using parsimony, maximum likelihood and Bayesian phylogenetic methods with indels treated as missing data. Partitions scheme and optimal model of nucleotide substitution for Bayesian analysis were selected using PartitionFinder2 (Guindon et al. 2010; Lanfear et al. 2012, 2016). PartitionFinder was set as follow: linked branch lengths, data blocks according to each codon position of each genetic region (mtSSU, MCM7, b-tub), the greedy search scheme, the Bayesian information criterion as selection metric and only models that are implemented in MrBayes. The selected substitution model schemes are provided in Table 2.

We performed parsimony JK in WinClada (Nixon 1999–2002) with 2000 replicates and otherwise default settings. For maximum likelihood and ML bootstrapping we used RAxML 8 (Stamatakis 2014) implementing a general time reversible (GTR) model of nucleotide substitution with gamma distributed rate heterogeneity GTR+G (GTRGAMMA) following recommendations in the user manual. We used 4 parti-

Table 2. Evolutionary models and partitions according to the Best scheme calculated in PartitionFinder. In RAxML only the GTR+G (GTRGAMMA) model was used for all partitions.

Subset name	Analyses type	Nr of sites	Codon position	Best model	Partition
mtSSU	MrBayes	735	–	HKY+I+G	1
MCM7	MrBayes	194	1	SYM+I+G	2
MCM7	MrBayes	194	2	SYM+I+G	2
MCM7	MrBayes	194	3	HKY+I+G	3
b-tub	MrBayes	210	1	SYM+I+G	2
b-tub	MrBayes	210	2	JC	4
b-tub	MrBayes	210	3	HKY+I+G	3
mtSSU	RAxML	735	–	–	1
MCM7	RAxML	194	1	–	2
MCM7	RAxML	194	2	–	3
MCM7	RAxML	194	3	–	4
b-tub	RAxML	210	1	–	2
b-tub	RAxML	210	2	–	3
b-tub	RAxML	210	3	–	4

tions determined by PartitionFinders (Table 2). 1000 bootstrap (BS) replicates were completed using the parametric (BS) algorithm of RAxML-HPC2 on the Cipres Web Portal (Miller et al. 2010). Bayesian phylogenetic analysis was inferred using MrBayes 3.2.5 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003; Ronquist et al. 2011) with the evolutionary models following the partitioning scheme from PartitionFinder (Table 2). We estimated posterior probabilities (PP) by running one cold and two heated chains for 2 130 000 generations in parallel mode, saving trees every 100th generation. To test whether the Markov chain converged, we monitored the average standard deviation of split frequencies (ASDSF), which should fall below 0.01 when comparing two independent runs. We discarded the 25% of generations before the point where the ASDSF fell below 0.01 as burn-in. All remaining trees were summarized as a Bayesian 50% majority rule (MR) consensus tree with PP calculated for each clade.

Results and discussion

We produced 61 new sequences (Table 1) for the phylogenetic analyses (24 mtSSU, 15 MCM7, 22 b-tub) including 57 taxa and 1947 nucleotide positions (735 for mtSSU and 582 for MCM7 and 630 for b-tub) for the final matrix. The alignment contained 618 parsimony-informative characters (177 for mtSSU, 237 for MCM7 and 204 for b-tub). The most likely tree from the RAxML analysis is presented in Figure 1 with likelihood BS, Bayesian PP and parsimony JK support superimposed.

The analyses resulted in a topology (Fig. 1) very similar to the results of Otálora et al. (2013a, 2013b). Some of the backbone topology, however, has unfortunately no or low support. In Otálora et al. (2013b) *Callome* was the sister to *Rostania*, but in our




Figure 1. The most likely tree from the combined RAxML analysis based on 1947 aligned characters of mtSSU rDNA, MCM7 and b-tub from 57 specimens. Support values (Likelihood BS/Bayesian PP/parsimony JK) given when BS $\geq 70\%$, PP ≥ 0.90 and parsimony JK $\geq 70\%$. Branches receiving BS $\geq 75\%$, PP ≥ 0.95 and JK $\geq 75\%$ are indicated with a black dot. The different colour indicate different genera: blue = *Leptogium*, pink = *Rostania*, orange = *Scytinium*.

study this relationship is not formed. All *Rostania* species are nested within Collemaataceae, but *Rostania* in the sense of Otálora et al. (2013b) is non-monophyletic. Three species form a core group, which we here treat as *Rostania s. str.* *Rostania s. str.* is well

supported and includes *Rostania occulta* (Fig. 2A), *R. ceranisca*, and *R. multipunctata*. We can conclude that *R. occulta* as currently circumscribed is non-monophyletic. *Rostania multipunctata* (Fig. 2B) shares the cuboid shape and size of the spores with *R. occulta* s. lat. (Fig. 3A), but the thallus differs in size (the lobes are generally larger, up to ca 2.5 cm long in *R. multipunctata*, while in *R. occulta* s. lat. they are up to ca 3 mm long). It has also accessory lobules developing from the wrinkles (Fig 2B), which do not occur in *R. occulta* s. lat. The delimitation of the two varieties of *R. occulta* is unclear, as is the separation from *R. multipunctata*. Our study is not designed to study species-delimitations and we will extend our investigation of this species complex in a larger study currently in preparation.

Rostania ceranisca, the only terricolous *Rostania*, is sister to the group consisting of *R. multipunctata* and *R. occulta* s. lat. In addition to its terricolous ecology, it is easily recognized by the erect accessory finger-like lobules (Fig. 2C), which grow from the edge of the main lobes. The spores in *R. ceranisca* differ in shape from the cuboid spores in *R. multipunctata* and *R. occulta* s. lat. (Fig. 3A) in being oblong (Fig. 3B). Although Degelius (1954) noted only four spores in the ascus, we have usually observed eight spores, even if four of them may be aborted or are at least not clearly visible when mature (Fig. 3B).

Rostania callibotrys does not group with *Rostania* s. str. (Fig. 1), but forms an unsupported group with *Enchylium*. *Rostania callibotrys* has a comparatively distinct thalline apothecium margin, similar to some species of *Enchylium*. However, this is a widespread feature in the family including some species of *Rostania* s. str. The thallus with characteristic accessory lobules in *R. multipunctata* (Fig. 2B) and *R. laevispora* (Fig. 2D) is very similar to *R. callibotrys* (Fig. 2E). *Rostania callibotrys* also has spores that are very similar to the typical cuboid to oblong *Rostania*-spores in *R. multipunctata* and *R. occulta* s. lat. (Fig. 3A, B), but the spores in *R. callibotrys* have fewer cells (Fig. 3C) than in these species. *Rostania laevispora* (Fig. 2D), a rarely collected species that we did not manage to get sequences from, is very similar and likely very closely related to *R. callibotrys* (Fig. 2E). As there is no support for excluding these species, and no distinct morphological evidence suggests any other relationship, we tentatively leave both *R. callibotrys* and *R. laevispora* in *Rostania*.

We did not manage to get molecular data from *R. coccophylla* (Fig. 4A), a tropical and rarely collected species where the available material was too old. Although *R. coccophylla* is similar to *R. callibotrys* and *R. multipunctata*, the apothecia in *R. coccophylla* are very different in that they are convex and stipitate when mature (compared to concave and initially immersed and later sessile, in *Rostania*) and considerably larger compared to other *Rostania* species. The apothecia of *R. coccophylla* are similar to several species in *Collema* sensu Otálora (2013b), where this species originally was placed. Although we preferably would want molecular data to test the correct placement of this species, we suggest that it is re-instated in *Collema*, where the name *Collema coccophyllum* Nyl. is available.

Rostania quadrifida and *R. paramensis* are not closely related to *Rostania* s. str. *Rostania quadrifida* was described by Stone and McCune (2010) as *Collema quadrifidum*, and was later included in *Rostania* based on spore shape and thallus morphology (McCune et al. 2014). It differs from *Rostania* s. str. by having spores with fewer septa




Figure 2. Thallus habitus: **A** *Rostania occulta* var. *populina* (Odelvik 1269, S), thallus lobes (arrow) **B** *Rostania multipunctata* (Poelt 8852, GZU), accessory lobules (arrow) **C** *Rostania ceranisca* (MW_HOCH020, S), accessory finger-like lobules (arrow) **D** *Rostania laevispora* (isotype of *Collema laevisporum* Swinscow & Krog, Tanzania, 1986, Swinscow & Krog T 3/6, O-00298), apothecium in initial stage (arrow) **E** *Rostania callibotrys* (Moberg 4431a, UPS), apothecium in initial stage (arrow). *tL* = thallus lobes, *al* = accessory lobules, *Ai* = apothecium in initial stage covering the top of the accessory lobules. Scale bar: 1 cm.




Figure 3. Ascospores: **A** *Rostania occultata* var. *populina* (Llop 56060303, S), cubic spores **B** *Rostania ceranisca* (Westberg L271_PL433, UPS), oblong spores; ascus (red line) with only four mature spores visible but remnants of four aborted spores can be seen (arrows) **C** *Rostania callibotrys* (Sipman 2049, GZU), oblong spores. Scale bar: 10 μ m.

(Fig. 5A). Here it forms the sister group to *Scytinium* (Fig. 1), within a well-supported group consisting of *Blennothallia*, *Lathagrium* and *Scytinium*. *Rostania quadrifida* has a thallus composed by densely interwoven hyphae, and with a pseudocortex (Fig. 6A), features that do not occur in *Rostania* s. str., but in some species of *Scytinium* (similar to e.g. *Scytinium intermedium* and *S. magnussonii*; Jørgensen 1994). These similarities support including it in *Scytinium*, which we do below.

The generic position of *R. paramensis* has been complicated to assess. Jørgensen and Palice (2012) described it as *Collema paramense*, based on the holotype (Palice 2796) and another sample from a second locality in Ecuador (Palice 2273). As the thallus has a pseudocortex, Otálora et al. (2013b) transferred it to *Scytinium*. Jørgensen and Palice (2015) later studied another sample from the second locality (Palice 2274). They concluded that the spores in the holotype must have been unusually developed, and transferred it to *Rostania* based on the oblong spores (similar to *R. ceranisca*) found in Palice 2274. Our re-examination of these three specimens, including the holotype, shows that Palice 2273 and Palice 2274 contain two distinct Collemataceae species (Fig. 4C, D). One of these (Fig. 4D), present in small amounts only in both samples, is identical with holotype of *Collema paramense* and is characterised by a matt dark olive thallus with a pseudocortex (Fig. 6B), and hyaline, muriform, ellipsoid spores with acute ends (Fig. 5B). This is very different from the spores in *Rostania*, but typical for species in *Leptogium* s. str. (Fig. 5C). We




Figure 4. Thallus habitus: **A** *Rostania coccophylla* (isotype of *Collema coccophyllum* Nyl., India, 1858, Perrotet s.n., H-NYL 42355, H-9201376) **B** *Rostania paramensis* (Palice 2796, PRA-00013999; holotype of *Collema paramense* PM Jørg. & Palice) **C** *Scytinium* sp. Palice 2274a **D** *Rostania paramensis* Palice 2274b. *tL* = thalline lobes, *is* = isidia. Scale bar: 1 cm.

sequenced the holotype, and we can conclude that among the *Leptogium* species we have sampled, it forms a group with *Leptogium azureum* (the conserved type of *Leptogium*; Jørgensen et al. 2013) and *L. denticulatum* (Fig. 1). It has a thallus which is appressed to the substrate and composed by relatively small lobes (Fig. 4B) which is rare in other *Leptogium* s. str., and in section it has straight and unbranched hyphae which are perpendicular to the surface (Degelius 1954; Fig. 6B). This character is present in several groups in Collemataceae. It was observed by Degelius (1954) in




Figure 5. Ascospores: **A** *Rostania quadrifida* (McCune 2744, UPS), cubic spores with 2–5 cells **B** *Rostania paramensis* (Palice 2796, holotype of *Collema paramense*), ellipsoid spores with acute ends **C** *Leptogium azureum* (Tehler 3140, S), ellipsoid spores with acute ends **D** *Scytinium* sp. (Palice 2273), oblong spores, **E** *Scytinium* sp. (Palice 2274), oblong spores. Scale bar = 10 µm

some *Collema* species, and has also been noted in the newly described *Leptogium antarcticum* by Kitaura et al. (2018) who used the term “columnar hyphae” for the same hyphal arrangement. We have observed this hyphal arrangement in *Leptogium azureum* (Fig. 6C) and *L. denticulatum* too, but it is apparently not present in *Rostania*. The second species present in Palice 2273 and Palice 2274, apparently confused Jørgensen and Palice (2015) as their observation of oblong spores (Fig. 5D, E) refer to this species, which has a shiny brown thallus (Fig. 4C) and not a matt dark olive thallus as in “*Rostania*” *paramense* (Fig. 4B). The second species differs from *Rostania* by having a proper eucortex (Fig. 6D), and by producing isidia along the apothecium margin (Fig. 4C). The thallus is paraplectenchymateous throughout (Fig. 6D). This hyphal arrangement is present in several groups in Collemataceae, including *Rostania occultata* s. lat. (Fig. 6E). Already Degelius (1954) noted this hyphal arrangement in his *Occultatum*-group and Otálora et al. (2013b) observed the same in *Blennothallia*, *Pseudoleptogium* and in *Scytinium*. We sequenced also this species and we can confirm that both samples belong in *Scytinium*, but the species remains to be identified.




Figure 6. Thalli, transversal cross-sections: **A** Thallus with pseudocortex and densely interwoven hyphae (*Rostania quadrifida*, McCune 2744, UPS) **B** Thallus with pseudocortex and straight and unbranched hyphae which are perpendicular to the surface (*Rostania paramensis*, Palice 2796, holotype of *Collema paramense*) **C** Thallus with eucortex and straight and unbranched hyphae which are perpendicular to the surface (*Leptogium azureum*, Tehler 3140, S) **D** Thallus with eucortex and paraplectenchymateous throughout (*Scytinium* sp. Palice 2273) **E** Thallus paraplectenchymateous throughout (*Rostania occulta* var. *populina*, Llop 56060303, S) **A–E** in lactic blue **C** in water. euC = eucortex, PsC = pseudocortex, Hp = hyphae, pPlect = paraplectenchyma. Scale bar = 10 μ m

Conclusions

Here we have tested the current generic concept of *Rostania* and conclude that at least three of the species should be excluded and that the position of *R. callibotrys* and *R. laevispora* in *Rostania* is uncertain. *Rostania* is characterized by crustose to subfoliose thallus with initially immersed apothecia (Fig. 2D, E), which only later become sessile. The disc is concave when young and plane when older, but never convex. The spores are muri-

form with at least 5 cells, cuboid to oblong, but never fusiform to ellipsoid (Fig. 3A–C). Most species are comparatively small, and all lack cortex, rhizines and isidia.

Rostania includes six taxa: *R. callibotrys*, *R. ceranisca*, *R. laevispora*, *R. multipunctata*, *R. occultata* var. *occultata*, and *R. occultata* var. *populina*. *Rostania occultata* s. lat. is non-monophyletic and this species complex will be investigated in the near future.

New combinations

***Leptogium paramense* (P.M.Jørg. & Palice) A.Košuth. & Wedin, comb. nov.**
MB829590

Basionym. *Collema paramense* P.M. Jørg. & Palice, Biblioth. Lichenol. 108: 136 (2012)

Type. ECUADOR. Carchi: volcan Chiles, wet paramo, Palice 2796 (PRA-00013999!–holotype, BG, QCA–isotypes).

***Scytinium quadrifidum* (D.F.Stone & McCune) A.Košuth. & Wedin, comb. nov.**
MB829591

Basionym. *Collema quadrifidum* D.F. Stone & McCune, *N. Amer. Fung.* 5(2): 2 (2010)

Type. U. S. A. OREGON, Douglas County: Bushnell-Irwin Rocks ACEC, McCune 28536 (OSC–holotype, US, UPS–L513222!–isotypes).

Acknowledgements

This project was funded by the Swedish Taxonomy Initiative (Svenska artprojektet) administered by the Swedish Species Information center (ArtDatabanken), grant 2016-207 4.3. We would like to thank Ulrika Nordin and Fredrik Jonsson for their kind help during the fieldwork. We are grateful to the staff of the herbaria H, O, PRA and UPS for loans and the staff at S for administering our loans. Finally, we thank the staff at the Department of Bioinformatics and Genetics at the Swedish Museum of Natural History, in particular Bodil Cronholm and Wendy Solis for their skilful lab assistance; and Johan Nylander for his helpful discussions regarding selection of best-fit partitioning schemes for analyses.

References

- Buschbom J, Mueller G (2004) Resolving evolutionary relationships in the lichen-forming genus *Porpidia* and related allies (Porpidiaceae, Ascomycota). *Molecular Phylogenetic and Evolution* 32: 66–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2003.11.012>

- Degelius G (1954) The lichen genus *Collema* in Europe. *Symbolae Botanicae Upsalienses* 13: 1–499.
- Degelius G (1974) The lichen genus *Collema* with special reference to the extra-European species. *Symbolae Botanicae Upsalienses* 20: 1–215.
- Ekman S, Wedin M, Lindblom L, Jørgensen PM (2014) Extended phylogeny and a revised generic classification of the Pannariaceae (Peltigerales, Ascomycota). *Lichenologist* 46: 627–656. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002428291400019X>
- Guindon S, Franc J, Dufayard O, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O (2010) New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology* 59: 307–321. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010>
- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MRBAYES Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics Applications Note* 17: 754–755. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754>
- Jørgensen PM (1994) Further notes on European taxa of the lichen genus *Leptogium*, with emphasis on the small species. *Lichenologist* 26: 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1006/lich.1994.1001>
- Jørgensen PM (2007) Collemataceae. *Nordic Lichen Flora* 3: 14–45.
- Jørgensen PM, Palice Z (2012) *Collema paramense*, sp. nova, with notes on *Collema* in the High Andes. *Bibliotheca Lichenologica* 108: 135–140.
- Jørgensen PM, Palice Z (2015) Additions to the cyanolichens of the Andes. *Herzogia* 28: 285–287. <https://doi.org/10.13158/heia.28.1.2015.285>
- Jørgensen PM, Otálora MAG, Wedin M (2013) Proposal to conserve the name *Leptogium* (lichenized Ascomycota) with a conserved type. *Taxon* 62: 1333–1334. <https://doi.org/10.12705/626.23>
- Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A, Markowitz S, Duran C, Thierer T, Ashton B, Meintjes P, Drummond A (2012) Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28: 1647–1649. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199>
- Kitaura MJ, Scur MC, Spielmann AA, Lorenz-Lemke AP (2018) A revision of *Leptogium* (Collemataceae, lichenized Ascomycota) from Antarctica with a key to species. *Lichenologist* 50: 467–485. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0024282918000269>
- Košuthová A, Fernández-Brime S, Westberg M, Wedin M (2016) *Collolechia* revisited, and a reassessment of ascus characteristics in Placynthiaceae (Peltigerales, Ascomycota). *Lichenologist* 48: 3–12. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0024282915000432>
- Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SYW, Guindon S (2012) PartitionFinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 29: 1695–1701. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss020>
- Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B (2016) PartitionFinder 2: new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34: 772–773. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw260>

- Larsson A (2014) AliView: a fast and lightweight alignment viewer and editor for large datasets. *Bioinformatics* 30: 3276–3278. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu531>
- Lutzoni F, Wagner P, Reeb V, Zoller S (2000) Integrating ambiguously aligned regions of DNA sequences in phylogenetic analyses without violating positional homology. *Systematic Biology* 49: 628–651. <https://doi.org/10.1080/106351500750049743>
- McCune B, Rosentreter R, Spribille T, Breuss O, Wheeler T (2014) Montana Lichens: An Annotated List. Monographs in North American Lichenology 2. Northwest Lichenologists, Corvallis, Oregon, 183 pp.
- Miadlikowska J, Lutzoni F (2004) Phylogenetic classification of peltigeralean fungi (Peltigerales, Ascomycota) based on ribosomal RNA small and large subunits. *American Journal of Botany* 91: 449–464. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.91.3.449>
- Miadlikowska J, Kauff F, Högnabba F, Oliver JC, Molnár K, Fraker E, Gaya E, Hafellner J, Hofstetter V, Gueidan C, Otálora MAG, Hodgkinson B, Kukwa M, Lücking R, Björk C, Sipman HJM, Burgaz AR, Thell A, Passo A, Myllys L, Goward T, Fernández-Brime S, mGeirHestmark G, Lendemer J, Lumbsch HT, Schmult M, Schoch CL, Sérusiaux E, Maddison DR, Arnold E, Lutzonia, F, Stenroos S (2014) A multigene phylogenetic synthesis for the class Lecanoromycetes (Ascomycota): 1307 fungi representing 1139 infrageneric taxa, 312 genera and 66 families. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 79: 132–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2014.04.003>
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In: Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE). New Orleans, LA, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/GCE.2010.5676129>
- Nixon KC (1999–2002) WinClada ver. 1.0000 Published by the author, Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Otálora MAG, Aragón G, Molina MC, Martínez I, Lutzoni F (2010) Disentangling the Collema-Leptogium complex through a molecular phylogenetic study of the Collemataceae (Peltigerales, lichen-forming Ascomycota). *Mycologia*, 102: 279–290. <https://doi.org/10.3852/09-114>
- Otálora MAG, Aragón G, Martínez I, Wedin M (2013a) Cardinal characters on a slippery slope—a re-evaluation of phylogeny, character evolution, and evolutionary rates in the jelly lichens (Collemataceae s. str.). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 68: 185–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2013.04.004>
- Otálora MAG, Jørgensen PM, Wedin M (2013b) A revised classification of the jelly lichens, Collemataceae. *Fungal Diversity* 64[2014]: 275–293. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-013-0266-1>
- Otálora MAG, Wedin M (2013) *Collema fasciculare* belongs in Arctomiaceae. *The Lichenologist* 45: 295–304. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0024282912000849>
- Perlmutter GP, Rivas Plata E (2018) New combinations and notes on *Buellia* and *Rostania*. *Opuscula Philolichenum* 17: 319–321.
- Prieto M, Baloch E, Tehler A, Wedin M (2012) Mazaedium evolution in the Ascomycota (Fungi) and the classification of mazaediate groups of formerly unclear relationship. *Cladistics* 29: 296–308. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2012.00429.x>
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572–1574. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180>

- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck J, Teslenko M (2011) Draft MrBayes version 3.2 Manual: Tutorials and Model Summaries. http://mrbayes.sourceforge.net/mb3.2_manual.pdf
- Stamatakis A (2014) RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30: 1312–3. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>
- Stone D, McCune B (2010) *Collema quadrifidum*, a new epiphytic lichen species from the Pacific Northwest of the United States. *North American Fungi* 5: 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.2509/naf2010.005.002>
- Thiers B (2018) [Continuously updated] Index Herbariorum: A Global Directory of Public Herbaria and Associated Staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium. <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/> [Accessed on: 2018–10–27]
- Wedin M, Wiklund E, Jørgensen PM, Ekman S (2009) Slippery when wet: phylogeny and character evolution in the gelatinous cyanobacterial lichens (Peltigerales, Ascomycetes). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 53: 862–871. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2009.08.013>
- Weerakoon G, Aptroot A, Wedin M, Ekman E (2018) *Leightoniella zeylanensis* belongs to the Pannariaceae. *Nordic Journal of Botany*: 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/njb.01880>
- Wiklund E, Wedin M (2003) The phylogenetic relationships of the cyanobacterial lichens in the Lecanorales suborder Peltigerineae. *Cladistics* 19: 419–431. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2003.tb00312.x>